

Imprisonment for Public Protection (Re-sentencing) Bill [HL]

RUNNING LIST OF ALL AMENDMENTS IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE

*Tabled up to and including
10 March 2025*

[Amendments marked ★ are new or have been altered]

Clause 1

LORD WOODLEY

Clause 1, page 1, line 3, leave out “must” and insert “may”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure the establishment of an expert advisory committee without the requirement on the Secretary of State to carry out a resentencing exercise.

LORD WOODLEY

Clause 1, page 1, line 4, leave out “, whether in prison or the community,” and insert “who has been released on licence and is living in the community”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would confine the resentencing exercise to those who are currently living on licence in the community.

BARONESS BURT OF SOLIHULL

- ★ Clause 1, page 1, line 4, after “community,” insert “who has served a sentence at least ten years longer than the tariff that was imposed for the original offence,”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would confine the resentencing exercise to those who are at least 10 years over tariff.

LORD WOODLEY

Clause 1, page 1, line 16, leave out subsections (5) and (6) and insert –

- “(5) A Crown Court designated by the Lord Chancellor must re-sentence the person serving the IPP sentence in relation to the original offence or offences, and any associated offences.
- (6) The re-sentencing court must not impose a sentence more severe than the notional determinate sentence upon the basis of which the tariff was specified as needing to be served before an application for early release might be made.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure those serving IPP cannot be resentenced more severely than the notional determinate sentence upon which the minimum term was based. Confirmation of IPP is preserved for those falling into the category specified in subsection (6A), added by another amendment in the name of Lord Woodley, to ensure public safety.

LORD WOODLEY

Clause 1, page 1, line 20, at end insert –

- “(6A) The re-sentencing court may confirm the sentence of IPP only if –
 - (a) at the date of the original sentencing, ignoring the alternative of an IPP sentence, and at the date of re-sentencing, the person serving the IPP sentence might properly have been and now would be sentenced to life imprisonment, and
 - (b) that person, at the date of re-sentencing, constitutes a substantial risk of causing serious harm if released.
- (6B) Cases falling within the potential scope of this subsection (6A) may only be re-sentenced by a judge authorised to try cases of murder.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would give the Court the power to confirm a sentence of IPP only where, had not IPP have been an available alternative, the original sentence could lawfully have been a sentence of life imprisonment, the person would under current sentencing practice be sentenced to life imprisonment, and where the re-sentencing judge is authorised to try cases of murder.

BARONESS FOX OF BUCKLEY

Clause 1, page 1, line 20, at end insert –

- “(6A) A court carrying out a review of a sentence of IPP may substitute a hospital order, with or without a restriction on release, for a sentence of IPP.
- (6B) A court may not make a hospital order under subsection (6A) unless –
 - (a) it has received evidence from two registered medical practitioners that the defendant is suffering from a mental disorder of a nature or degree which

- makes it appropriate for them to be detained in hospital for treatment, and
- (b) those medical professionals have recommended what treatment would be appropriate.
- (6C) A court may not add a restriction on release to a hospital order unless—
- (a) it is satisfied that the subject of that hospital order poses a significant risk to the public if not detained in a hospital,
- (b) one registered medical practitioner who has given oral evidence in court supports the making of a restriction order, and
- (c) it is satisfied that appropriate in-patient treatment is available for the defendant concerned.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure those serving IPP and suffering from a mental disorder who present a significant risk are detained in a secure mental hospital until discharged by the Mental Health Review Tribunal, the Mental Health Review Tribunal for Wales or a Secretary of State.

Clause 2

LORD BLUNKETT

Clause 2, page 2, leave out lines 7 to 13 and insert “a sentence of detention for public protection under section 226, since repealed, of the Criminal Justice Act 2003”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would confine the resentencing exercise to those sentenced to the juvenile equivalent of IPP, DPP (Detention for Public Protection).

LORD MOYLAN

Clause 2, page 2, line 9, after “2003” insert “which was passed before 14 July 2008”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would confine the re-sentencing exercise to those sentenced before the provisions relating to IPP as originally enacted were significantly amended in 2008.

LORD MOYLAN

Clause 2, page 2, line 11, after “Act” insert “which was passed before 14 July 2008”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would confine the re-sentencing exercise to those sentenced before the provisions relating to IPP as originally enacted were significantly amended in 2008.

Imprisonment for Public Protection (Re-sentencing) Bill [HL]

RUNNING LIST OF ALL AMENDMENTS IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE

Tabled up to and including

10 March 2025

10 March 2025

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS