

Digital Markets, Competition and Consumers Bill

AMENDMENTS
TO BE MOVED
ON REPORT

Clause 19

LORD FAULKS
BARONESS STOWELL OF BEESTON

Clause 19, page 11, line 7, leave out “proportionate” and insert “appropriate”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would restore the Bill's original wording in relation to Clause 19.

Clause 46

LORD FAULKS
BARONESS STOWELL OF BEESTON

Clause 46, page 26, line 27, leave out paragraph (b) and insert—

“(b) making the PCI would be likely to contribute to or otherwise be of use for the purpose of remedying or preventing the adverse effect on competition.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would remove “proportionality” as the determining factor in relation to a decision by the CMA to make a pro-competition intervention and would restore the Bill's original wording in relation to Clause 46.

Clause 103

BARONESS JONES OF WHITCHURCH
BARONESS HARDING OF WINSCOMBE
BARONESS KIDRON
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

Clause 103, page 62, line 13, leave out paragraph (b) and insert—

“(b) a decision about the imposition of a penalty under section 85(1) or (3) or section 87 (but see subsection (3A) and section 89(1A));

- (c) a decision about the imposition of a penalty under section 85(4) (but see section 89(1A)).”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment, alongside others in my name to Clauses 89 and 103, would revert the relevant Clauses back to the ones first introduced in the House of Commons. This would reinstate judicial review principles as the means by which appeals against penalty decisions are heard, rather than such decisions being determined on the merits.

Digital Markets, Competition and Consumers Bill

AMENDMENTS
TO BE MOVED
ON REPORT

23 February 2024

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS