

Trade (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership) Bill [HL]

AMENDMENTS TO BE MOVED IN GRAND COMMITTEE

Clause 3

LORD LANSLEY

Clause 3, page 2, line 7 leave out “wholly or mainly”

The Schedule

LORD LANSLEY

The Schedule, page 8, line 31, leave out “fully financed, or co-financed for the most part,” and insert “funded”.

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to probe the question of why the CPTPP chapter reference to “funded” is rendered as “fully financed or co-financed for the most part” and any consequences of this distinction.

LORD LANSLEY

The Schedule, page 9, line 31, leave out “fully financed, or co-financed for the most part,” and insert “funded”.

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to probe the question of why the CPTPP chapter reference to “funded” is rendered as “fully financed or co-financed for the most part” and any consequences of this distinction.

LORD LANSLEY

The Schedule, page 10, line 25, leave out “fully financed, or co-financed for the most part,” and insert “funded”.

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to probe the question of why the CPTPP chapter reference to “funded” is rendered as “fully financed or co-financed for the most part” and any consequences of this distinction.

LORD LANSLEY

The Schedule, page 11, line 25, leave out “fully financed, or co-financed for the most part,” and insert “funded”.

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to probe the question of why the CPTPP chapter reference to “funded” is rendered as “fully financed or co-financed for the most part” and any consequences of this distinction.

LORD LANSLEY

The Schedule, page 12, line 23, leave out “fully financed, or co-financed for the most part,” and insert “funded”.

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to probe the question of why the CPTPP chapter reference to “funded” is rendered as “fully financed or co-financed for the most part” and any consequences of this distinction.

LORD LANSLEY

The Schedule, page 13, line 20, leave out “fully financed, or co-financed for the most part,” and insert “funded”.

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to probe the question of why the CPTPP chapter reference to “funded” is rendered as “fully financed or co-financed for the most part” and any consequences of this distinction.

Clause 4

LORD LANSLEY

Clause 4, page 3, line 13, leave out “established by use” and insert “in use prior to that date”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is to probe whether the concept of “established by use” has meaning under the Trade Marks Act 1994.

Clause 5

LORD LANSLEY

Clause 5, page 6, line 6, at end insert –

“(3A) In section 206, after subsection (4) insert –

“(4A) Prior to His Majesty making an order in Council under subsection (4)(b) the Secretary of State must consult those whom they consider appropriate including representatives of United Kingdom rightsholders.”

(3B) In section 208 (countries enjoying reciprocal protection), after subsection (1) insert –

“(1A) Prior to His Majesty making an Order in Council under this section, the Secretary of State must consult those whom they consider appropriate including representatives of United Kingdom rightsholders.””

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would require consultation to take place regarding reciprocal protection for United Kingdom performers in a qualifying country before is it designated as such.

Trade (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership) Bill [HL]

AMENDMENTS
TO BE MOVED
IN GRAND COMMITTEE

29 November 2023

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS